

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		e	
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/484,278	02/26/90	NILSSEN	O EXAMINER
OLE K. NILS CAESAR DRIV BARRINGTON, This is a communication from	E . IL 60010	nf wur annijeation	MIS, D  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  252 DATE MAILED: 07/26/90
COMMISSIONER OF PATEN	TS AND TRADEMARKS	S	
shortened statutory period f illure to respond within the p	or response to this a period for response w	rill cause the application to become abandone	
Int I THE FOLLOWING A	TTACHMENT(S) AR	E PART OF THIS ACTION:	
	d by Applicant, PTO-1		or Patent Drawing, PTO-948.  of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
ert II SUMMARY OF ACT	TION		·
1. Claims_  -	8		are pending in the application
			are withdrawn from consideration
			have been cancelled.
3. Claims			
4. 🔀 Claims _/- 8			
_			
6. Claims			are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application ha	as been filed with info	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which ar	e acceptable for examination purposes.
8.  Formal drawings a	re required in respon	se to this Office action.	,
		ave been received on e (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing	. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawing , PTO-948).
		sheet(s) of drawings, filed on niner (see explanation).	has (have) been
11. The proposed draw	ving correction, filed	has been 🔲 appro	oved:  disapproved (see explanation).
		for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified o	opy has  been received  not been received
		condition for allowance except for formal mat parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

Serial No. 484,278

Art Unit 252

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a) On page 1, lines 14-15, the application data should be updated since Serial No. 06/837,759 is now abandoned, and an FWC filed.
- b) On page 3, lines 14-15, the brief description of Fig. 5 should be deleted since there is no "Fig. 5" in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Spira et al, Galindo, and Nilssen '318 and '525.

Spira et al disclose a system for supplying power from a power supply to different lamps wherein an inverter is placed in

Art Unit 252

circuit between the power supply and a set of lines that distribute power to the lamps. Spira et al teach in column 5, lines 50-52 that any connection may be used between the inverter and lamps as long as the length is not too long. Galindo discloses a connection means incorporating tracks and is cited in the present specification. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate track means in the circuit disclosed by Spira et al as an equivalent for the connection means therein since the length of the tracks would Spira et al disclose in column 7, lines 60-61, permit their use. that any inverter may be used in their circuit 22. Nilssen '318 discloses an inverter which is referenced in the present specification as being analogous to the present inverter. would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an inverter means as disclosed in Nilssen '318 in a circuit as taught by Spira et al incorporating track connection means as an equivalent for inverter 22. Nilssen '525 discloses another inverter analogous to the present inverter. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the inverter taught in Nilssen '525 in a circuit as taught by Spira et al incorporating track connection means as an equivalent for inverter 22.

Art Unit

252

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Mis at telephone number (703) 557-2325.

Mis/rk July 24, 1990

> DAVID MIS EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 252